

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,078	10/29/2003	Craig John Simonds	201-1110	5929
28415 7	590 01/26/2005		EXAM	INER
PRICE, HENEVELD, COOPER, DEWITT & LITTON, LLP TRAN, 695 KENMOOR S.E.			ALENA	
P. O. BOX 256	57		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GRAND RAPI	DS, MI 49501-2567		3661 DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	
•				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
N /		10/696,078	SIMONDS ET AL.				
W.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dalena Tran	3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this col D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 (October 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	—						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National S	Stage			
* 0	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
- S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	i oi ine ceriiiea copies not receive	: 0.				
Attach:	W-1						
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/22/03,1/15/04,</u> 4/10/04) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO	-152)			
•							

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-16 are pending.
- 2. The prior art submitted on 12/22/03, 1/15/04, and 12/10/04 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis (6,182,010), in view of Lee et al. (6,374,177).

As per claim 1, Berstis discloses a system for providing environmental context information for use with onboard vehicle devices, system comprising: an input for accessing and receiving context information (see at least column 3, lines 30-47), an interface for communicating a data storage device with a plurality of onboard vehicle devices (see at least column 3, lines 48-58), and an agent for downloading environmental context information to one or more of the vehicle devices (see at least columns 4-5, lines 47-2). Berstis does not discloses identifying context information. However, Lee et al. disclose an identifier for identifying context information related to the environment as environmental context information, and a data storage device having memory for storing the identified environmental context information (see at least column 7, lines 49-64; and column 14, lines 1-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Berstis by

Application/Control Number: 10/696,078

Art Unit: 3661

combining identifying context information for accurately providing the user input information to an onboard vehicle.

Also, as per claim 2, Lee et al. disclose the stored environmental context information comprises an address pointer that indicate the source of the environmental context information (see at least columns 8-9, lines 25-45; and columns 11-12, lines 35-9).

As per claim 3, Berstis discloses the input receives the environmental context information from at least one of an off board service provider and a vehicle centric system (see at least column 4, lines 22-46).

As per claim 4, Berstis discloses the interface comprises a wireless interface (see at least column 5, lines 44-52).

As per claim 5, Berstis discloses the plurality of vehicle devices comprises a vehicle control module and a navigation device (see at least columns 4-5, lines 47-42).

As per claim 6, Berstis does not disclose weather information. However, Lee et al. disclose the environmental context information comprises weather information (see at least column 12, lines 10-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Berstis by combining the environmental context information comprises weather information for assisting a driver planning a travel plan in a navigation system.

As per claim 7, Berstis discloses the environmental context information comprises vehicle travel condition information (see at least column 2, lines 12-43; and columns 5-6, lines 53-14).

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 8, Berstis discloses the data storage device is portable (see at least column 3, lines 30-47).

Claims 9-11, are method claims corresponding to system claims 1-3 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Claims 12-14, are method claims corresponding to system claims 5-7 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Claims 15, and 16, are method claims corresponding to system claims 4, and 8 above.

Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - . Dussell et al. (6,266,612)
 - . Russell (6,505,121)
 - . Drury et al. (6,707,421)
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-8223. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 AM-5:30 PM), off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner Dalena Tran

January 21, 2005